

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/043,095	01/14/2002	Suk-jin Jung	Q65154	6754	
7590 04/21/2005 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER		
			JOSEPH, JAISON		
Suite 800 2100 Pennsylva	nia Avenue, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, D			2634		
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MH
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/043,095	JUNG, SUK-JIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jaison Joseph	2634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC	NTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a republe136(a). In no event, however, may a republe	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	January 2002.	·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 10</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) \boxtimes Claim(s) 3 - 6 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) g is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 January 2002</u> is/ar		·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap lority documents have been r	plication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract is too long. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claim 1, 2 and 10, the claim 1, line 3 and 2, line 5 recite "differential filters having a differential property transfer function", and claim 10, line 3 recites "differential filtering", where the differential filters have two inputs. The specification does not show the input and the complementary input connect to the differential filter and how the differential filters functions in detail. The specification only discloses a real signal or a imaginary signal going to the differential filter, and the specification does not merely suggest or mention about the complementary input. Clarification is required.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Regarding claim 7, the phrase "The method of claim 8" renders the claim indefinite because a method claim depend on an apparatus claim. The claim 8 is an apparatus claim, further a dependent claim should be followed by an independent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sunwoo et al. (US Patent 6,314,129).

Regarding claim 8, Sunwoo et al. teach a timing synchronization detecting unit generating a timing synchronization signal corresponding to a power difference between preceding and succeeding samples of an input that are ahead and behind the input signal (see figure 4), a detection timing deciding unit for deciding detection timing of the input signal according to the timing synchronization discriminating signal from the timing synchronization detecting unit and a data detecting unit for detecting the input signal according to the timing decided by the detection timing deciding unit (figure 4 element 22).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3 – 6 are allowable over prior art of record.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record failed to teach a timing synchronization detecting apparatus having a timing error detecting unit for receiving and semi-symbol delaying a real number element and an imaginary number element of the input signal, and generating a real number signal by subtracting one-symbol delayed real number element from the real number element of the input signal and an imaginary number signal by subtracting the one-symbol delayed imaginary element from the imaginary number element of the input signal.

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,095

Art Unit: 2634

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison Joseph whose telephone number is (571) 272-6041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jaison Joseph 04/11/2005

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 5